



# KERALA GAZETTE

## കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LV വാല്യം 55	THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	4th May 2010 2010 മേയ് 4 14th Vaisakha 1932 1932 വൈശാഖം 14	No. നമ്പർ	18
----------------------	---	---	--------------	----

### PART I

## Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

### Labour and Rehabilitation (A) Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

#### ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 459/2010/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 15th March 2010.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The Managing Director, Kerala Co-operative Milk Marketing Federation, Milma Bhavan, Pattom Palace P. O., Thiruvananthapuram, 2. The General Manager, Cattle Feeds Factory, Pattanakkad P. O., Cherthala-688 536, 3. The Manager, Central Products Dairy, Alappuzha and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri P. Premkumar, Kuttikkattil Veedu, Vayalar East P. O., Cherthala in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri P. Premkumar, Worker, Milma Cattle Feed Plant, Pattanakkad P. O., Alappuzha-688 531 by the management is justifiable? If not, what are the relief he is entitled to?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 460/2010/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 15th March 2010.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Secretary, Cochin Naval Base Civilian Employees Society Limited No. 4146, Naval Base P. O., Kochi-4 and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. I. Preetha, W/o. Anilkumar, Vettezhathu House, Pullardesam Road, Palluruthy, Kochi-6 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. I. Preetha, Clerk of Cochin Naval Base Civilian Employees Society by the management is justifiable? If not what relief she is entitled to get ?

(3)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 461/2010/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 15th March 2010.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri S. Prasannakumar, Anil Printers, Palakunnu, Chirayinkil and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. S. Geetha, Charuvila Puthan Veedu, Kallinmoodu, Ilamba P. O., Avanavanchery, Attingal in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of service of Smt. S. Geetha, Printing Machine Operator, Anil Printers, Palakunnu, Chirayinkil by the management is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs she is entitled to?

(4)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 462/2010/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 15th March 2010.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. Smt. Girija Muraleedharan, R. C. Owner, KRG 2005, KL 8 E 2772, Leela Mandiram, Alathur P. O., Palakkad, 2. Shri Unni Madhavan Nair, Advocate, Leela Mandiram, Alathur P. O., Palakkad (PA Holder of Girija Muraleedharan) and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the

Secretary, District Motor Transport Employees Union (CITU), Mettupalayam Street, Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to 1. Shri Chenthamara, Driver, 2. Punnumani, Conductor cum Writer, 3. A. Ravi Kannan, Conductor, 4. M. A. Abdul Khader, Conductor, 5. Muraleedharan, Cleaner in Bus KRG-2005 and afterward in KL 8 E 2772 by the employer is justifiable? If not, what relief they are entitled to?

(5)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 464/2010/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 15th March 2010.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the General Manager, Monipally Marketing Co-operative Society Limited No. 4052, Monipally, Kottayam and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri K. M. Thomas, Kuzhivelil, Poovakulam P. O., Karamala, Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the agreement between Shri K. M. Thomas, Kuzhivelil, Poovakulam P. O., Kottayam working as Depot Manager, in Monipally Marketing Co-operative Society Limited No. 4052 and the management of the Society is signed under coercion by the management or not? If so, what relief the workman is entitled to?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 489/2010/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 10th March 2010.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Central Arrecanut & Cocoa Marketing & Processing Co-operative Limited, PB No. 223, Varanasi Towers, Mission Street, Mangalore-575 001 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri K. Ganesh Pai, Krishnanjali, Variyam Road, Aranattukara, Thrissur-18 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

## ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri K. Ganesh Pai, Junior Assistant (Marketing) by the Management of M/s. Central Arrecanut & Cocoa Marketing & Processing Co-operative Limited, Mangalore is justifiable ? If not what relief he is entitled to get ?

(7)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 490/2010/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 10th March 2010.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The General Manager, The Common Wealth Trust (India) Limited, Mananchira, Kozhikode, 2. The Factory Manager, Common Wealth Tile Factory, Puthiyara, Kozhikode-4 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Tile Employees Union (INTUC), Cheruvannur P. O., Feroke, Kozhikode-673 631 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

## ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri C. T. Sahadevan, Watchman in Common Wealth Tile Factory, Puthiyara by the management is justifiable ? If not what relief he is entitled to get ?

(8)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 466/2010/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 15th March 2010.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President, Parimanam Ksheerolpadaka Sahakarana Sangham Limited No. Q. 133 D, APCOS, Parimanam, Neendakara P. O., Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri R. Baiju, Palladathu Veedu, Parimanam, Neendakara P. O., Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

## ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri R. Baiju, Secretary, by the management of Parimanam Ksheerolpadaka Sahakarana Sangham Limited No. Q. 133 D, APCOS, Parimanam, Neendakara P. O. is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to ?

(9)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 467/2010/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 15th March 2010.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Secretary, Cochin Naval Base Civilian Employees Society Limited No. 4146, Naval Base P. O., Kochi-4 and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. V. L. Girija w/o. P. K. Asokan, Pandoth Veliyil House, Ezhupunna P. O.-688 548 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

## ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. V. L. Girija, Daily Wages Clerk of Cochin Naval Base Civilian Employees Society by the management is justifiable ? If not what relief she is entitled to get ?

(10)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 488/2010/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 18th March 2010.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President, Ollur Service Co-operative Bank Limited No. 279, Ollur, Panamkuttichira, Thrissur and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri M. J. Ittiachan, Mecheri House, Venganalloor P. O., Chelakkara, Thrissur-680 586 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

## ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri M. J. Ittiachan, worker of Ollur Service Co-operative Bank Limited No. 279, Ollur, Panamkuttichira Branch by the management is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to get?

(11)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 506/2010/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 18th March 2010.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Pallivasal Estate, Munnar, Idukki District and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Workers Congress, Munnar P. O., Idukki District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

## ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Smt. Jaya, 2618, Worker, Pallivasal Estate, Munnar by the management is justifiable or not? If not what relief she is entitled to?

(12)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 507/2010/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 18th March 2010.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Group Manager, Harrisons Malayalam Limited, Vandiperiyar P. O., Peermade, Idukki District and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Peermade Thottam Thozhilali Union (CITU), Vandiperiyar in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

## ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri Nagaraj, CR No. 4523, Permanent Worker by the management of Moongalar Estate, Vandiperiyar is justifiable? If not what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(13)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 511/2010/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 18th March 2010.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Rohit Estate of M/s. Rathan Plantations Limited, Karimkulam, Chappath P. O., Idukki District and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Malanadu Plantation Employees Union (CITU), Puliyanmala P. O., Idukki in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

## ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri Binu, Check Roll No. 359 and Shri. Pramod, Check Roll No. 444, Workers of the Rohit Estate of M/s. Rathan Plantations Limited, Karimkulam, Chappath P. O., Idukki District by the management is justifiable? If not what are the reliefs they are entitled to?



(14)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 602/2010/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 30th March 2010.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Periyar Cements, NIDA, Kanjikode, Palakkad and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Palakkad District Engineering and Industries Mazdoor Sangham (BMS), Harikkara Street, Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

## ANNEXURE

Whether the retrenchment of employees consequent on lockout of Periyar Cements, NIDA, Kanjikode, w.e.f. 17-8-2009 is justifiable? If not, what relief they are entitled to?

(15)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 671/2010/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 6th April 2010.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Gopinath, Director in Charge, Common Wealth Trust India Limited, Kozhikode and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by Shri R. Udayakumar, General Secretary, The Cochin Commercial Employees Association, CCEA Complex, Amaravathy, Kochi in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

## ANNEXURE

Whether the Transfer of Shri P. S. Jayaprakash Kumar, Head Clerk, Head Office, Common Wealth Trust India Limited, Calicut to Common Wealth Tile Factory, Feroke is valid? Whether his termination of service during the pendency of conciliation proceedings is valid and if not what relief he is entitled to get?

By order of the Governor,

G. SIVAPRASAD,

*Under Secretary to Government.***Law Department****Law (H)**

## NOTIFICATIONS

(1)

No. 7102/H4/2010/Law.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 7th April 2010.*

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 and 5 of the Notaries Act, 1952 (Central Act 53 of 1952) read with sub-rule (4) of rule 8 of the Notaries Rules 1956, the Government of Kerala hereby re-appoint Sri. C. K. Vasudevan, Advocate, 158/XIX, Vadhyana Mana, Poothole P. O., Thrissur-4 as Notary for a further period of five years with effect from 28-4-2010 in the area comprising the Revenue District of Thrissur with Register No. 21/97/TCR.

(2)

No. 1774/H4/2010/Law.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 9th April 2010.*

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 and 5 of the Notaries Act, 1952 (Central Act 53 of 1952) read with sub-rule (4) of rule 8 of the Notaries Rules 1956, the Government of Kerala hereby re-appoint Sri. G. S. Chackochan, Advocate, Ganapathiplackal, Njandupara P. O., Ponkunnam-686 506 as Notary for a further period of five years with effect from 3-5-2010 in the area comprising Kanjirappally Taluk in the Revenue District of Kottayam with Register No. 2/2000/KTM.

By order of the Governor,

K. SASIDHARAN NAIR,

*Law Secretary.*